

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,349	10/30/2003	Todd Michael Wenger	H1799-00225	7517	
41396	7590 06/08/2006		EXAMINER		
DUANE MO	DUANE MORRIS LLP			LEO, LEONARD R	
IP DEPARTI 30 SOUTH 1	MENT 7TH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELP	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/697,349	WENGER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an App al Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonard R. Leo	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantine periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ma	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

3. [	☐ Other:	
3. [	☐ Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 24, 2006 has been entered.

Claims 4-8 and 19-21 are pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawara in view of Przyborowski.

Ogawara discloses all the claimed limitations except a collar portion that engage and grip the heat pipe.

Przyborowski discloses a heat exchanger comprising a pipe 22 and a plurality of fins 10, each having a hole 18 with collar portions 19 that engage and grip the pipe (page 1, column 2, lines 24-40) for the purpose of securing the fin to the pipe.

Since Ogawara and Przyborowski are both from the same field of endeavor and/or

Page 3

analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Przyborowski would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Ogawara.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Ogawara collar portions that engage and grip the pipe for the purpose of securing the fin to the pipe as recognized by Przyborowski.

## Response to Arguments

Rather than argue the characteristics of soldering, which is not recited in the claims, the device of the combination of Ogawara and Przyborowski is believed to meet the instant invention. Although Przyborowski discloses a tinned or solder coated tube, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184. Thus, if removability of the fin is required, then the tinning or solder coating may be eliminated. The collar portions of 19 of Przyborowski remain as a teaching to snugly engage the tube.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,349 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753

June 1, 2006